Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Data Analysis

Scientists have estimated that highway accidents kill between 500,000 and 700,000 deer in the United States every year. These accidents can also result in injuries or death to humans as well as extensive property damage.

Many people believe that wildlife-vehicle collisions are a significant problem and millions of dollars should be invested to make roads safer for the humans that drive them and the animals that cross them. Other people disagree. Although they admit that accidents involving wildlife do occur, they believe that the problem is minor and our money is better spent elsewhere. You must take a careful look at the facts and come to your own conclusion.

Often in science, there is too much information for one person to analyze independently. As a result, scientists often work in teams. For this activity, your teacher will divide the class into six teams and provide each team with a piece of the data relevant to this problem. Each team must then analyze their data, prepare a graph and then present it to the class so that everyone becomes familiar with all the issues. To help your team be successful, each member will have to become an expert.

Group 1: Arizona Population

Use the table below to complete the tasks and answer the questions.

Year 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 **Population** 4.6 4.8 4.9 5.1 5.5 5.6 5.3

Table 1: Total Population of Arizona (in millions)

Source: Arizona Department of Transportation

Tasks:

- 1. Use the data in the table above to make a line graph. Feel free to use large paper and markers to ensure that everyone will be able to see it.
- 2. Write a brief paragraph explaining what the graph shows. This will be the main information that your team will present to the class.
- 3. Answer the following questions:
 - a. What is the total change in population over this time period?
 - b. What is the average change in population per year?
 - c. If this trend were to continue, what do you expect the population of Arizona to be in 2010? 2050?
- 4. Write a brief paragraph explaining what the graph shows. This will be the main information that your team will present to the class. Present your graph and your analysis to the class.
- 5. When all groups have presented, write a short essay (1-2 pages) in which you summarize the major facts and statistics, state whether or not the citizens of Arizona should be concerned about wildlife-vehicle collisions, and explain what action, if any, the Arizona government should take.

¹ Romin, L. A. and J. A. Bissonette. 1996. *Deer-vehicle collisions: status of state monitoring activities and mitigation efforts.* Wildlife Society Bulletin 24:276-283.

Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Data Analysis

Scientists have estimated that highway accidents kill between 500,000 and 700,000 deer in the United States every year. These accidents can also result in injuries or death to humans as well as extensive property damage.

Many people believe that wildlife-vehicle collisions are a significant problem and millions of dollars should be invested to make roads safer for the humans that drive them and the animals that cross them. Other people disagree. Although they admit that accidents involving wildlife do occur, they believe that the problem is minor and our money is better spent elsewhere. You must take a careful look at the facts and come to your own conclusion.

Often in science, there is too much information for one person to analyze independently. As a result, scientists often work in teams. For this activity, your teacher will divide the class into six teams and provide each team with a piece of the data relevant to this problem. Each team must then analyze their data, prepare a graph and then present it to the class so that everyone becomes familiar with all the issues. To help your team be successful, each member will have to become an expert.

Group 2: Statewide Wildlife-Vehicle Collisions

Use the table below to complete the tasks and answer the questions.

Table 2: Total Number of Vehicle Collisions Involving Wildlife in Arizona

Year	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
# of Crashes	1285	1136	1480	1671	1638	1791	1414

Source: Arizona Department of Transportation

- 1. Use the data in the table above to make a bar graph. Feel free to use large paper and markers to ensure that everyone will be able to see it.
- 2. Write a brief paragraph explaining what the graph shows. This will be the main information that your team will present to the class.
- 3. Answer the following questions:
 - a. Which year had the most collisions involving wildlife? The least?
 - b. What is the average number of collisions per year?
 - c. Does the number of collisions appear to be increasing, decreasing, or staying the same?
 - d. If this trend were to continue, what would you expect the number of similar collisions to be in 2010?
- 4. Present your graph and your analysis to the class.
- 5. When all groups have presented, write a short essay (1-2 pages) in which you summarize the major facts and statistics, state whether or not the citizens of Arizona should be concerned about wildlife-vehicle collisions, and explain what action, if any, the Arizona government should take.



¹ Romin, L. A. and J. A. Bissonette. 1996. *Deer-vehicle collisions: status of state monitoring activities and mitigation efforts.* Wildlife Society Bulletin 24:276-283.

Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Data Analysis

Scientists have estimated that highway accidents kill between 500,000 and 700,000 deer in the United States every year. These accidents can also result in injuries or death to humans as well as extensive property damage.

Many people believe that wildlife-vehicle collisions are a significant problem and millions of dollars should be invested to make roads safer for the humans that drive them and the animals that cross them. Other people disagree. Although they admit that accidents involving wildlife do occur, they believe that the problem is minor and our money is better spent elsewhere. You must take a careful look at the facts and come to your own conclusion.

Often in science, there is too much information for one person to analyze independently. As a result, scientists often work in teams. For this activity, your teacher will divide the class into six teams and provide each team with a piece of the data relevant to this problem. Each team must then analyze their data, prepare a graph and then present it to the class so that everyone becomes familiar with all the issues. To help your team be successful, each member will have to become an expert.

Group 3: Injuries Resulting from Collisions

Use the table below to complete the tasks and answer the questions.

Table 3: Total Reported Injuries and Deaths from Vehicle Collisions Involving
Wildlife in Arizona

Year	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Injuries	248	206	260	280	344	314	244
Deaths	2	7	2	2	1	3	5

Source: Arizona Department of Transportation

- 1. Use the data in the table above to make a bar graph. Feel free to use large paper and markers to insure that everyone will be able to see it.
- 2. Write a brief paragraph explaining what the graph shows. This will be the main information that your team will present to the class.
- 3. Answer the following questions:
 - a. What is the total number of people injured over this time period? Killed?
 - b. What is the average number of people injured/killed per year?
 - c. Does the number of injuries/deaths appear to be increasing, decreasing, or staying the same? If this trend were to continue, what would you expect the number of injuries/deaths to be in 2010?
- 4. Present your graph and your analysis to the class.
- 5. When all groups have presented, write a short essay (1-2 pages) in which you summarize the major facts and statistics, state whether or not the citizens of Arizona should be concerned about wildlife-vehicle collisions, and explain what action, if any, the Arizona government should take.

¹ Romin, L. A. and J. A. Bissonette. 1996. *Deer-vehicle collisions: status of state monitoring activities and mitigation efforts.* Wildlife Society Bulletin 24:276-283.

Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Data Analysis

Scientists have estimated that highway accidents kill between 500,000 and 700,000 deer in the United States every year. These accidents can also result in injuries or death to humans as well as extensive property damage.

Many people believe that wildlife-vehicle collisions are a significant problem and millions of dollars should be invested to make roads safer for the humans that drive them and the animals that cross them. Other people disagree. Although they admit that accidents involving wildlife do occur, they believe that the problem is minor and our money is better spent elsewhere. You must take a careful look at the facts and come to your own conclusion.

Often in science, there is too much information for one person to analyze independently. As a result, scientists often work in teams. For this activity, your teacher will divide the class into six teams and provide each team with a piece of the data relevant to this problem. Each team must then analyze their data, prepare a graph and then present it to the class so that everyone becomes familiar with all the issues. To help your team be successful, each member will have to become an expert.

Group 4: Elk Population Near State Route 260

Use the table below to complete the tasks and answer the questions.

Table 4: Estimated Number of Elk in the Vicinity of State Route 260

Year	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
# of Elk	1683	1678	1665	1672	1660	1710	1542	1716	1587	1488

Source: Arizona Game and Fish Department

- 1. Use the data in the table above to make a line graph. Feel free to use large paper and markers to ensure that everyone will be able to see it.
- 2. Write a brief paragraph explaining what the graph shows. This will be the main information that your team will present to the class.
- 3. Answer the following questions:
 - a. Which year had the most elk? The least?
 - b. What is the average number of elk per year?
 - c. Does the population of elk appear to be increasing, decreasing, or staying the same?
 - d. If this trend were to continue, what would you expect the number of elk to be in 2010?
- 4. Present your graph and your analysis to the class.
- 5. When all groups have presented, write a short essay (1-2 pages) in which you summarize the major facts and statistics, state whether or not the citizens of Arizona should be concerned about wildlife-vehicle collisions, and explain what action, if any, the Arizona government should take.

¹ Romin, L. A. and J. A. Bissonette. 1996. *Deer-vehicle collisions: status of state monitoring activities and mitigation efforts.* Wildlife Society Bulletin 24:276-283.

Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Data Analysis

Scientists have estimated that highway accidents kill between 500,000 and 700,000 deer in the United States every year. These accidents can also result in injuries or death to humans as well as extensive property damage.

Many people believe that wildlife-vehicle collisions are a significant problem and millions of dollars should be invested to make roads safer for the humans that drive them and the animals that cross them. Other people disagree. Although they admit that accidents involving wildlife do occur, they believe that the problem is minor and our money is better spent elsewhere. You must take a careful look at the facts and come to your own conclusion.

Often in science, there is too much information for one person to analyze independently. As a result, scientists often work in teams. For this activity, your teacher will divide the class into six teams and provide each team with a piece of the data relevant to this problem. Each team must then analyze their data, prepare a graph and then present it to the class so that everyone becomes familiar with all the issues. To help your team be successful, each member will have to become an expert.

Group 5: Elk Killed on State Route 260

Use the table below to complete the tasks and answer the questions.

Year 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 # of Elk 20 25 23 27 39 14 29 42 33 36 34 Killed

Table 5: Elk Killed by Vehicle Collisions on State Route 260

Source: Arizona Game and Fish Department and Arizona Department of Transportation

- 1. Use the data in the table above to make a bar graph. Feel free to use large paper and markers to ensure that everyone will be able to see it.
- 2. Write a brief paragraph explaining what the graph shows. This will be the main information that your team will present to the class.
- 3. Answer the following questions:
 - a. In which year were the most elk killed? The least?
 - b. What is the average number of elk killed per year?
 - c. Does the number of elk killed by vehicles appear to be increasing, decreasing, or staying the same? If this trend were to continue, what would you expect the number of (or: collisions resulting in elk deaths) to be in 2010?
- 4. Present your graph and your analysis to the class.
- 5. When all groups have presented, write a short essay (1-2 pages) in which you summarize the major facts and statistics, state whether or not the citizens of Arizona should be concerned about wildlife-vehicle collisions, and explain what action, if any, the Arizona government should take.

¹ Romin, L. A. and J. A. Bissonette. 1996. *Deer-vehicle collisions: status of state monitoring activities and mitigation efforts*. Wildlife Society Bulletin 24:276-283.



Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Data Analysis

Scientists have estimated that highway accidents kill between 500,000 and 700,000 deer in the United States every year. These accidents can also result in injuries or death to humans as well as extensive property damage.

Many people believe that wildlife-vehicle collisions are a significant problem and millions of dollars should be invested to make roads safer for the humans that drive them and the animals that cross them. Other people disagree. Although they admit that accidents involving wildlife do occur, they believe that the problem is minor and our money is better spent elsewhere. You must take a careful look at the facts and come to your own conclusion.

Often in science, there is too much information for one person to analyze independently. As a result, scientists often work in teams. For this activity, your teacher will divide the class into six teams and provide each team with a piece of the data relevant to this problem. Each team must then analyze their data, prepare a graph and then present it to the class so that everyone becomes familiar with all the issues. To help your team be successful, each member will have to become an expert.

Group 6: Average Daily Traffic on State Route 260

Use the table below to complete the tasks and answer the questions.

Table 6: Average Annual Daily Traffic Volume (AADT) on State Route 260

Year	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
AADT	3124	3123	3652	3750	3950	4930	5112	4500	6267	8700

Source: Arizona Game and Fish Department and Arizona Department of Transportation

Tasks:

- 1. Use the data in the table above to make a line graph. Feel free to use large paper and markers to ensure that everyone will be able to see it.
- 2. Write a brief paragraph explaining what the graph shows. This will be the main information that your team will present to the class.
- 3. Answer the following questions:
 - a. How much has the average daily traffic increased during this time period?
 - b. What is the average increase in traffic per year?
 - c. If this trend were to continue, what would you expect the average daily traffic to be in 2010? 2050?
- 4. Present your graph and your analysis to the class.
- 5. When all groups have presented, write a short essay (1-2 pages) in which you summarize the major facts and statistics, state whether or not the citizens of Arizona should be concerned about wildlife-vehicle collisions, and explain what action, if any, the Arizona government should take.

¹ Romin, L. A. and J. A. Bissonette. 1996. *Deer-vehicle collisions: status of state monitoring activities and mitigation efforts.* Wildlife Society Bulletin 24:276-283.

Is There a Problem? Rubric

The following rubric will show you how your essay will be evaluated. Use it as you write.

CATEGORY	4	3	2	1
Accuracy of	All supportive	Almost all	Most supportive	NO fact re
Facts				reported OR
(Content)	reported	are reported	accurately.	most are
	accurately.	accurately.		inaccurately
				reported.
Adding	The writer has	The writer has	The writer	There is no sense
~~				of voice in the
(Voice)	unique and	convincing	develops a	essay.
	persuasive	voice. There is	convincing	
	voice. There is	some sense of	voice, but	
	a strong sense	personality, but	generally it is	
	of personality.	it may be	weak and	
		inconsistent or	inconsistent.	
C	D-4-11	weak at times.	Some details are	M 1-4-:1
Sequencing	Details are	Details are	Some details are	Many details are
)	lagical arder	placed in a logical order, but	ar avraatad	ar avracted
	logical order, and the way	the way in which	or expected order and may	or expected order. There is
	they are	they are	distract or	little sense that
	presented	presented/	confuse the	the writing is
	effectively	introduced	reader.	organized.
	keeps the	sometimes	reader.	organized.
	interest of the	makes the		
	reader.	writing less		
	Touch.	interesting.		
Word Choice	Writer uses	Writer uses vivid	Writer uses	Writer uses a
		words and		
	phrases that	phrases that	communicate	vocabulary that
	linger or draw	linger or draw	clearly, but the	does not
	pictures in the	pictures in the	writing lacks	communicate
	reader's mind,	reader's mind,	variety, punch or	strongly or
	and the choice	but occasionally	flair.	capture the
	and placement	the words are		reader's interest.
	of the words	used inaccurately		Jargon or clichés
	seems accurate,	or seem		may be present
	natural and not	overdone.		and detract from
	forced.			the meaning.
Flow and	All sentences	Almost all	Most sentences	The sentences
(0)	sound natural		1	are difficult to
(Sentence	and are easy-	natural and are	and are easy-on-	read aloud
Fluency)	on-the-ear when	easy-on-the-ear	the-ear when	because they
	read aloud.	when read aloud,	read aloud, but	sound awkward,
	Each sentence	but one or two	several are stiff,	are repetitive, or
	is clear and has	are stiff,	awkward or	difficult to
	an obvious	awkward or	difficult to	understand.
	emphasis.	difficult to	understand.	
		understand.		

